

## CHAPTER 6

### THE HOAXES OF ANTHROPOLOGY

And God said, let us make man in our image, after our likeness . . . So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them

And the Lord God formed man out of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul (Gen. 1:26,27; 2:7).

THE WITNESS of the revelation in the first chapters of Genesis is clear and plain. God created man in the image of God and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life, and man became a living soul.

There is no question and no doubt about this creation, about the structure, inward and outward, of the man, as we read the Bible. He is differentiated from the beast, God made the animal of the field separate and apart. After the Lord God had created the fish of the sea and the fowl of the air and the beast of the field, then the Lord God created man in His own image and in his own likeness.

That is one way of explaining all of the forms of life that we see around us. They were created by the Lord God. Everything we see was made by the hands of God. Out of nothing, He created our universe. And in that universe He created life and the forms of life that we see, grouped by family units. God created them so, and God fastened those family units in unbreakable links. Each one of the families, each one of the species, is a unit in itself. Each after his own kind. God made it that way.

In those created units is the family of man. God made him separate and apart. God created him as such. The Bible says that God created him perfect. All of his faculties - intellectual, physical, spiritual - all of his faculties were given to him in the day that God created him.

From that perfect and exalted estate, man fell because of sin. Some of the children of Adam went down and down until we see their degradation in the squalor of the lowest savage.

But God had pity upon the children of Adam, who thus fell into sin and iniquity, and who thus degraded their high opportunities and lofty estate and became as the beasts of the field. And the Lord said: "I shall send thee a redeemer who shall win thee and woo thee, and teach thee, and bring thee back. And some day thou shalt inherit again that glorious and high estate from which thou hast fallen." We shall have our Eden, our tree of life, back again. That's the way the Bible speaks of the man God made.

There are those who purport to be great teachers and infallible scientists, who say that this revelation in the Bible is directly opposite to what actually happened. They say that out of nothing, out of space, something created itself. Out of the great vacuum of this space around us there came this universe. Out of nothing. Nobody made it. No purpose; no design. It just made itself. Out of nothing, something came.

They say that out of that something that came out of nothing, life generated itself. No one made it. No design in it. It just happened. It accidentally, spontaneously generated itself. Then out of that little spontaneously generated speck of protoplasm there evolved, through the ages, all of the separated forms of life that we read about in the past, that we see in the rocks, that we see around us today; there evolved those forms of life, until man himself was evolved.

So in demonstrating that hypothesis, which many say is an assured fact, so-called scientists exhibit in the museums of the world ape-like, fierce-looking, supposed ancestors of man, and they say: "See, this is the man as he was one million years ago, and this is the man as he was seven hundred thousand years ago, and this is the man as he was three hundred thousand years ago, and here he is one hundred thousand years ago, and fifty thousand years ago, and here he is today."

All of us have seen the pictures of the evolving man as he came from the anthropoid to his present form. All of us have seen those pictures, I say, and many of us have seen those exhibits in museums. They construct whole families of anthropoids and ape-men, and finally come up to the man of today. They do that with great certainty, and we are overwhelmed and overawed by the authority of their supposedly scientific evidence for those demonstrations of the evolution of man.

This address is entitled "The Hoaxes of Anthropology." It is our thesis that those creatures, wild and fierce and ape-like, are nothing but the products of wild imagination and plaster of Paris~~ they are hoaxes, that they are by no means and in no way a reflection of the actual truth and of the actual facts.

## SCIENCE IS A SACRED COW

One of the most interesting books that has been published in recent years was written by an American scientist named Anthony Standen and entitled, *Science is a Sacred Cow*. It begins like this, When a white-robed scientist, momentarily looking away from his microscope or his cyclotron, makes some pronouncement for the general public, he may not be understood, but at least he is certain to be believed. No one ever doubts what is said by a scientist. Statesmen, industrialists, ministers of religion, civic leaders, philosophers, all are questioned and criticized, but scientists, never. Scientists are exalted beings who stand at the top-most pinnacle of popular prestige, for they have a monopoly of the formula, "it has been scientifically proved" which appears to rule out all possibility of disagreement.

Thus the world is divided into scientists, who practice the art of infallibility, and non-scientists, sometimes contemptuously called "laymen," who are taken in by it. The laymen see the prodigious things that science has done, and they are impressed and overawed. . . . Science has achieved so many things . . . that it is hard to believe it can be wrong in anything.

Since it is only human nature to accept such flattery, the scientists accept the laymen's opinion about themselves. The laymen, on the other hand, get their information about scientists from the scientists themselves, and so the whole thing goes 'round and 'round like the whip at Coney Island.

When a fact is a fact, we receive it as a fact. When a truth is a truth, we receive it as a truth. God is light and in Him is no fault or error at all. And the same hand that writes in the sky, the same hand that wrote in the Book, will be the same hand we see in all the forms and facts of life around us.

With the truth we have no quarrel. With a fact we have no quarrel. But this also is a fact: A theory is a theory and a hypothesis is a hypothesis and a guess is a guess. A so-called scientist in supporting his hypothesis can be as blind and as biased as the most superstitious, fanatical animist, and in many, many instances, in the name of so-called science, scientists have perpetrated on the public some of the most enormous and unbelievable hoaxes you could ever read in the story of humanity.

One of the most astounding phenomena in the world today is these exhibits in the great museums, purporting to illustrate and to prove the evolution of man from a lower ~ beast. The so-called evidences for the extended antiquity of man are purely hypothetical, manufactured out of the imagination. Yet they are presented as scientifically, factually, indisputably true. Scientific reputations are used to perpetuate shams and hoaxes that would make the late Barnum turn green with envy.

These things that are presented, these so called ape-man, are not factual, they are not true. They are figments of a wild imagination and are contrived through the use of plaster of Paris. It is our purpose to demonstrate these hoaxes of anthropology.

These ape-men who are supposed to belong to the evolutionary ancestry of our humankind are named after the place where they are supposed to have been discovered. There is the Nebraska Man, the Java Man, the Peking Man, the Galilee Man, the Piltdown Man, the Neanderthal Man, and so forth, and so forth. We shall begin in the order of their age, the oldest first and come up to the latest.

### Tim: NEB-SKA MAN

The oldest man was supposed to have been the Nebraska Man - "Hespero Pithecus Harold cookii" - that's his name. *Hespero* is the Greek word for "evening," and so became the Greek word for "western." *Pithecus*, of course, is the Greek word for "ape." So "Hespero Pithecus" would be "the western ape." And "Harold cookii" - we'll see him in a minute.

At the Scopes evolution trial in Dayton, Tennessee, William Jennings Bryan was confronted with a bevy of great scientific authorities led by Professor H. H. Newman of the University of Chicago. The professor astounded Mr. Bryan with the so-called facts of the "Nebraska Man," one of the race of men who dwelt in this country "one million years ago.

Bryan had no reply, except to say that he thought the evidence was too scanty to base upon it such far-reaching conclusions, and Bryan pleaded for more time and for more data. But the experts scoffed; they laughed at him, they made a joke of it. The greatest scientific authorities of the world knew the age of the Nebraska Man was one million years ago.

What was the scientific proof for the Nebraska Man? There was a man by the name of Harold Cook who discovered this famous fossil man and the new race was named after him, "Hespero Pithecus" - "the Western Man" - "Haroldcookii."

A tremendous literature was built up about this fossil race of North America. The most conservative estimate of the age of that race was one million years.

What was this find? Just what did Mr. Harold Cook discover in the state of Nebraska? He discovered a tooth! He discovered *one* tooth! He discovered just one tooth! Not teeth - tooth! This famous tooth was examined by the greatest scientists in the United States, and it was proof positive of a pre-historic race in America and beyond a shadow of a doubt, the man lived here at least one million years ago.

Sir Grafton Elliott Smith induced the editor of the *Illustrated London News* to send a man to America to learn all about this extinct race of humanity and to write **an article** in the *News*. And in that article were published pictures of a male and a female of this fossilized race that was here in **America** one million years ago, and they were made on the basis of one tooth!

Dr. William K. Gregory, curator in the American Museum of Natural History, and professor of Paleontology at Columbia University, called it "the million dollar tooth," and he described it as belonging to a human being of such antiquity that a million years in age for it was a conservative estimate.

Dr. Fairfield Osborn, America's greatest paleontologist, in his tremendous address before the American Philosophical Society at Philadelphia, April 27, 1927, placed